Original Article

Peer Reviewed

(a) Open Access



International Journal of Experimental Research and Review (IJERR) © Copyright by International Academic Publishing House (IAPH) ISSN: 2455-4855 (Online) www.iaph.in



Design, Performance and Economic evaluation of a 4kW Grid-interactive Solar PV Rooftop in **Odisha using Pysyst**

Check for updates

Renu Yadav^{1*}, Anamol Gautam² and Palraj Pradeepa³

¹Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering, Dev Bhoomi Uttarakhand University, Dehradun, Uttarakhand, India; ²Department of Electrical Engineering, Chandigarh Engineering College, Jhanjeri, India; ³Department of Electrical and Electronics Engineering, Faculty of Engineering and Technology, JAIN (Deemed-to-be University), Ramanagar, Karnataka, India

E-mail/Orcid Id:

RY, @ ece.renu@dbuu.ac.in, 10 https://orcid.org/0009-0002-5786-7898; AG, 20 gautamanamol@gmail.com, 10 https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0852-8371; PP, p.pradeepa@jainuniversity.ac.in, b https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3869-1364

Received: 18 th M	/ay., 2023
Accepted: 16 nd .	Jun., 2023
Published: 30 th J	ul., 2023

Article History:

Keywords: Economic analysis, Grid interactive photovoltaic system, Losses, Performance Ratio, PVsyst simulation tool

Abstract: The global decline of fossil fuels has required the development of alternative energy sources to satisfy the electricity demand. This article assesses and inaugurated the viability of grid-interactive photovoltaic systems providing electricity to a residential load. Since the average solar irradiation in Odisha is 1156.39 W/m2, a 4-kW rooftop solar photovoltaic system can produce 17.8 kWh of electricity per day (5.5 sunshine hours). Carbon Dioxide (CO₂) emissions are reduced by 113 tonnes for every 4 kWp produced, which is equal to planting 181 trees. This 4kW device is analyzed with a PVsyst (V7) program for a residential load consumption of kWh/day. The device consists of 16 photovoltaic modules, each with a 320 Wp value, that are arranged in two strings of eight modules each. The efficacy of the photovoltaic arrays, surplus energy pumped into the grid, usable AC energy, efficiency ratio, and various losses occurring in the photovoltaic system are all evaluated in the simulation outcome. The paper promotes using photovoltaic systems as a cost-effective and environmentally friendly energy source.

Introduction

The conventional energy sources comprise coal, natural gas and nuclear power stations, with coal producing the most electricity (Das and Kumar, 2022; Shilpa and Sridevi, 2019). It is about 60% of the electricity in India is produced from coal, but the conversion efficiency is low and toxins are released into the atmosphere during the conversion process, endangering the environment (Koko, 2022; Yadav et al., 2023). These issues may be resolved using renewable energy sources (Lee et al., 2018). Due to its position, India has an enormous capacity for producing solar energy since it gets solar radiation practically every year in the amount of 3000 hours of sunshine or 5000 trillion kWh. According to Yadav et al. (2015), India receives average 4-7 kWh of solar radiation per square meter.

Semiconductors that use sunlight as a energy source to produce "electricity" directly are the basis of photovoltaic modules or panels (Song et al., 2018). Grid-interactive systems and SAPV systems are the two categories under which photovoltaic systems fall (Chauhan and Singh, 2022; Prajapat et al., 2021). Grid interactive systems provide electricity into the grid, and the local environment, solar array orientation, inclination, and inverter performance all impact their efficiency (Singh and Rizwan, 2022). Renewable energy is provided through grid interactive networks with minimal transmission and distribution losses (Minai et al., 2022). Unconnected to the power grid, standalone systems create energy specifically for the load (Lee and Hong, 2019). An "energy storage system" is required if the solar array is not linked to the load directly (Hong et al., 2017; Simshauser, 2022). A battery that stores extra energy



generated by solar modules and may be used to provide the load in case the pv system's supply is insufficient is the energy storage system. Naturally, the separate device is utilised in homes to supply electricity (Kandasamy et al., 2013).

Various design and performance analysis techniques may be useful for discrete and grid-interactive systems (Alrawi et al., 2022; Koko, 2022). Architects, developers, and research scholars were considered while developing the Photovoltaic system simulation platform. It offers comprehensive contextual assistance, an ergonomic approach with project planning standards and a detailed explanation of the technique and templates utilized. Photovoltaic system enables you to upload personal data from 10 different sources and prediction information (Mandi, 2018; Saxena and Gidwani, 2018).

Rout and Kulkarni (2020), proposed a PV system used to evaluate the efficiency and design of a 2kW rooftop "grid-interactive solar PV system". The device is located in Bhubaneswar, Odisha, and is designed for usage by a domestic user load. A 2kW device is fitted when the entire load on the residence is determined. The system's economic analysis has been finished. In addition, the financial benefits during its lifespan are estimated to be Rs. 3,93,300, with just 57 tonnes of carbon dioxide emitted-equivalent to planting and raising 91 teak trees. The system's power production, failure rates, reliability, and performance ratio are determined using a PV system. More people are using solar photovoltaic devices, which provide renewable power or energy (Kappagantu et al., 2015; Rabuya et al., 2021).

Yadav et al. (2015) explained the design and evaluation of a 1 kW grid-connected solar PV rooftop using PVsyst. In Hamirpur, Himachal Pradesh, a commercial user load is intended for the machine. The statistics on global sun radiation were measured to provide more accurate findings. The total energy supplied by the apparatus and any resulting losses are calculated using PVsyst. Additionally, the efficiency ratio is predicted over a year, demonstrating the system's suitability for the location. Investing in solar PV systems is a viable strategy to address the world's growing energy needs (Lopez-Ruiz et al., 2020; Song et al., 2018).

Dey and Subudhi (2020) review the present Planning, simulating, and evaluating the economics of a 90 kW "grid interactive solar PV rooftop" were done using PVsyst. The NIT in Rourkela, Odisha, was the target market for the gadget. Using PVsyst, they chose the appropriate module settings. Additionally, it's used to monitor how much electricity the machine produces. According to the economic research, the cost savings amount to "Rs. 1,10,03,463" and the anticipated CO₂ production over 30 years is 2199.3 tonnes (Halim and Wahyuni, 2022; Sinha and Ranjan, 2021). It was shown to be a practical solution for power delivery there that would save energy bills. The photovoltaic system is capable of meeting the electrical needs of a school. 161.6 MWh of the 165.38 MWh produced annually by the 100kWp solar system are sent into the grid. The output ratio of the system is 80%, the daily useable energy is "4.42 kWh/kWp", and the yearly losses are computed. In order to improve performance, the suggested solar system may be built utilizing a range of photovoltaic modules and implementation methodologies (Chiteka et al., 2020; Putranto et al., 2022).

Methodology

The methodology contains the "Grid-interactive Solar PV Rooftop system" design illustrated in Figure 1. The methodology also deals with the data that is inducted by the study. The methodology also deals with Geographical location, PV specifications of the System, Inclination, Positioning, Inverter and Economic Analysis of the PV grid-Interactive system.

Design

The assembly of a grid-interactive PV system is shown in Figure 1 (Rout and Kulkarni, 2020). The design consists of three parameter PV array, System, and User. The PV arrays are passed through in the form of an array from the inverter and the inverter covers the array in two parts: the P and N. The N junction arrays are used as a backup and the P junction array is used as the main supply.



Figure 1. Solar PV grid-tied

Geographical Location of the Site

Bhubaneshwar, Odisha located at 20.296059°N and 85.824539°E. The average temperature is around 28°C. This is the ideal environment for a "solar PV system" to get the expected output (Karki et al., 2012). Figure 2 shows the geographical coordinates used in the software.

Location		
Site name	Residential Get from coordinates	
Country	India 💛 Region Asia 💛 📢 Show map	
eographical	Coordinates	Meteo data Import
	Sun paths	Meteonorm 7.3 NASA-SSE
	Decimal Deg. Min. Sec.	O PVGIS TMY
Latitude	20.2900 [9] 20 17 24 (+ = North, - = South hemisph.)	O NREL / NSRDB TMY
Longitude	85.8200 [9] 85 49 12 (+ = East, - = West of Greenwich)	Import
Altitude	0 M above sea level	
Time zone	5.5 Corresponding to an average difference	
	Legal Time - Solar Time = 0h-12m	

Figure 2. Geographical coordinates of the site

Specification of PV module used in the system

Considering a 4 kW system at Odisha, we have selected Tata Power Solar 320Wp, 32V. The total number of modules used is 16. The specification of PV modules used is in Figure 3.

The calculation and standard values from the MNRE website are shown in Table 2.

Result and Discussion

PVsyst software produced a descriptive report with

Sub-array	2
Sub-array name and Orientation Name PV Array Orient. Fixed Tilted Plane Azimuth 0°	Pre-sizing Help O No sizing Enter planned power I (4.0) KWp Resize or available area(modules) 23 m ²
Select the PV module Available Now Filter All PV modules V Tata Power Solar Syste 320 Wp 32V Si-poly TP 320L filter TP 320L filter	Approx. needed modules 12 BZp Since 2015 Manufacturer 2017, V Q Open
Use optimizer Sizing voltages : Vmpp (60°C) Voc (10°C)	31.9 V 48.0 V

Figure 3. Specification of PV module used

Inclination and Positioning

The solar path is illustrated in Figure 4, and the PV panels are best positioned accordingly. The tilt angle of the panels is 15° , azimuth angle is 0° and the optimization of the PV panel is yearly irradiation yield (Shiva Kumar and Sudhakar, 2015). Figure 4 shows the sun path of the given location and Figure 5 shows the module's tilt angle and azimuth angle.

Inverter

We select a Delta Energy Inverter of 4 kW for a fourkilowatt system for the site. The specifications of the inverter used are shown in Figure 6.

The appliances used in a residence are considered and details related to the appliances are shown in Table 1. **Economic analysis**

Total photovoltaic (PV) plant capacity = 4 kWArea required = 420 sq. ft. depicted below. The calculated data mounted, obtained and collected from pyranometers in Bhubaneswar were used as input for the global horizontal radiation data. The global incident radiation rises to 1883 kWh/m² due to the photovoltaic modules' seasonal maximum tilt. Wiring loss, light-induced degradation, and mismatch losses all account for 1.33 percent, 2% and 2.1 percent of the total losses in a photovoltaic device, respectively. The energy received from the device for load is 7.356 MWh, with inverter losses of 2.83 percent. The performance parameters of the 4 kW PV system and the loss occurring in the system are illustrated in Table 3 (Belmahdi and Bouardi, 2020). Figure 7 shows the loss diagram of the SPV system.

numerous graphs and charts, which are represented and









Figure 5. Tilt and azimuth of PV modules

Select the inverter							
Available Now V Output voltage 230 V Mono 50Hz		S0 Hz 60 Hz					
Delta Energy	0/60 Hz Solar Inverter RPI H4A	Since 2014 V Q Open					
Nb of MPPT inputs 2 🗘 🔽 Operating voltage	: 100-500 V Inverter power us	ed 4.0 kWac					
Use multi-MPPT feature Input maximum voltage: 600 V inverter with 2 MPPT							
Design the array							
–Number of modules and strings 🥘 🕜	Operating conditions Vmpp (60°C) 255 V	The Array maximum power is greater than the specified Inverter maximum allowed input PV power , i.e. 4 kW/inverter.					
Mod. in series 8 🐥 🔲 between 4 and 12	Vmpp (20°C) 306 V Voc (10°C) 384 V	(Info, not significant)					
Nb. strings 2 👶 🗹 only possibility 2	Plane irradiance 1000 W/m ²	O Max. in data 💿 STC					
Overload loss 0.1 % Show sizing ?	Impp (STC) 17.1 A Isc (STC) 18.1 A	Max. operating power 4.6 kW (at 1000 W/m ² and 50°C)					
Nb. modules 16 Area 31 m ²	Isc (at STC) 18.1 A	Array nom. Power (STC) 5.1 kWp					

Figure 6. Specification of the Inverter used

Table 1. Resident Load usage

Sl. No	Name of appliances	Power	No. of appliances	Total watts	No. of hours	Energy (Wh)	
1	LED	10	10	100	6	600	
2	Fan	150	4	600	6	3600	
3	TV	100	1	100	2	200	
4	Fridge	150	1	150	24	3600	
5	Washing Machine	1500	1	1500	2	3000	
6	Laptop	50	1	50	2	100	
7	Air Conditioner	1500	1	1500	6	9000	
Total Hourly Load (Wattage)=3460 W/h							
Total energy for daily load = 20100 Wh/day							

Table 2. Economic analysis of the proposed system

Size of Power Plant:				
Feasible Plant Size as per capacity	4kW			
Cost of the Plant:				
MNRE current benchmark cost	Rs. 41000/kW			
Without subsidy	Rs. 164000			
With subsidy (40% upto 3kW and 20% above the	B ₀ 106600			
3kW upto the 10kW)	KS. 100000			
Total Electricity Generation from Solar Plant:				
Annual	5520kWh			
Life-Time (25 years)	138000kWh			
Financial Savings:				
Tariff @ Rs. 5.5/kWh				
Monthly	Rs. 2530			
Annually	Rs. 30360			
Life Time (25 years)	Rs. 759000			
CO ₂ emission	113 tons			
This installation is equivalent to the planting of 181 teak tree over the life time.				
EMI for Loan amount of Rs. 98400 for loan period of 10 years @8.45% is Rs.1217/month				

Table 3. Performance parameters of 4 kW PV system								
	GlobHor kWh/m²	DiffHor kWh/m ²	T_Amb	GlobInc kWh/m²	GlobEff kWh/m ²	EArray MWh	E_Grid MWh	PR ratio
January	140.4	42.36	20.33	175.9	166.2	0.723	0.720	0.719
February	147.3	47.45	22.33	178.0	165.3	0.733	0.509	0.345
March	180.4	77.34	25.11	178.3	176.3	0.333	0.305	0.445
April	190.2	42.33	25.33	167.2	172.3	0.433	0.556	0.556
May	191.6	57.00	23.11	178.2	177.1	0.345	0.667	0.356
June	191.6	24.33	20.22	177.2	175.2	0.432	0.449	0.776
July	135.0	77.34	29.33	174.3	190.2	0.442	0.993	0.843
August	120.3	75.33	28.33	173.2	180.3	0.472	0.440	0.765
September	124.5	55.44	27.43	170.3	170.3	0.320	0.339	0.678
October	141.7	66.33	24.55	171.9	186.2	0.459	0.456	0.734
November	132.6	80.33	23.55	172.3	190.3	0.432	0.567	0.566
December	135.4	81.33	22.33	174.3	187.2	0.332	0.451	0.881
Year	1831	726.91	291.95	2091.1	2136.9	5.456	6.452	0.7664

Loss diagram over the whole year

+7.1%

-2.62%

-3.00%

-0.93%

+0.40%

-2.00%

-2.10%

-1.33%

-2.83%

90.00%

90.00%

90.00%

90.00%

90.00%

+-0.06%

1.729

Global horizontal irradiation Global incident in coll. plane

IAM factor on global

Soiling loss factor

Effective irradiation on collectors

PV conversion

Array nominal energy (at STC effic.) PV loss due to irradiance level

PV loss due to temperature

Module quality loss

LID - Light induced degradation

Mismatch loss, modules and strings Ohmic wiring loss

Array virtual energy at MPP

Inverter Loss during operation (efficiency) Inverter Loss over nominal inv. power Inverter Loss due to max. input current Inverter Loss due to power threshold Inverter Loss due to power threshold Inverter Loss due to voltage threshold Night consumption Available Energy at Inverter Output Energy injected into grid



1758 kWh/m²

1779 kWh/m² * 31 m² coll.

efficiency at STC = 16.51%

9.11 MWh

7.58 MWh

7.36 MWh

7.36 MWh





Performance Ratio PR



Figure 9. Monthly variation of PR

Legends: GlobHor: Global horizontal irradiation

GlobEff: Effective Global, Corr. For IAM and shading

DiffHor: Horizontal diffuse irradiation

 $\label{eq:EArray:Effective energy at the output of the array T_Amb: T amb.$

E_Grid: Energy injected into the grid

GlobInc: Global incident in coll. Plane

PR: Performance Ratio

Figure 8 depicts the system's daily production capacity, including variations in the system's normal output energy caused by different parameters. The output ratio (PR) depicts the system's efficiency. It is the system's energy output proportion to the radiation incident on the module region. Figure 9 depicts the system's output ratio for each month, with an average of 0.763, which is adequate for a system (Kumar et al., 2017).

Conclusion

The PVsyst software provides a complete methodology for developing and simulating a 4kW gridinteractive solar system (Dey and Subudhi, 2020). According to the simulation, the mean solar irradiation obtained at a tilt angle of 20° is 1757.9 kW which is related according to the data. In addition, the photovoltaic system includes sixteen 320Wp solar panels and a 4kW inverter. The following is a summary of the findings:

i. According to the MNRE solar rooftop calculator, a cost savings of Rs.759000 over 25 years is a significant financial saving.

ii. The total CO_2 emissions are 113 tonnes, which is the same as planting 181 teak trees for a 25-year cycle.

iii. The total amount of energy generated each year is 7.36MWh.

iv. The system's performance ratio is 0.7631.

After reviewing its overall efficiency, the mounted grid interactive solar photovoltaic system is a viable solution for power supply in Odisha. The appliance provides all of the household's energy needs throughout the year while also creating extra power that the user may utilize afterward. The development of PV systems indicates that the systems' capital costs will drop and their efficiencies will rise. "photovoltaic energy" systems also generate cleaner energy than traditional energy systems.

Conflict of interest

None

References

Alrawi, O. F., Al-Siddiqi, T., Al-Muhannadi, A., Al-Siddiqi, A., & Al-Ghamdi, S. G. (2022).

DOI: https://doi.org/10.52756/10.52756/ijerr.2023.v31spl.010

Determining the influencing factors in the residential rooftop solar photovoltaic systems adoption: Evidence from a survey in Qatar. *Energy Reports*, *8*, 257 -262.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egyr.2022.01.064

Belmahdi, B., & Bouardi, A. El. (2020). Solar Potential Assessment using PVsyst Software in the Northern Zone of Morocco. *Procedia Manufacturing*, 46, 738-745.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.promfg.2020.03.104

- Chauhan, S., & Singh, B. (2022). Utility intertie multiphotovoltaic-inverters-based microgrid control for solar rooftop. *IET Energy Systems Integration*, 4(2), 247 - 266. https://doi.org/10.1049/esi2.12058
- Chiteka, K., Arora, R., Sridhara, S. N., & Enweremadu, C. C. (2020). Numerical investigation of soiling of multi-row rooftop solar PV arrays. *International Journal of Energy and Environmental Engineering*, *11*(4), 439 - 458. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40095-020-00344-2
- Das. B., & Kumar, A. (2022).An Analytical Study to Determine Performance and Economic Benefits of the Grid-Interactive Rooftop Solar Power Plants. Lecture Notes in Electrical 397 to 405. Engineering, pp. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-16-7472-3_32
- Dey, D., & Subudhi, B. (2020). Design, simulation and economic evaluation of 90 kW grid connected Photovoltaic system. *Energy Reports*, 6, 1778 to 1787.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egyr.2020.04.027

Halim, D. K., & Wahyuni, A. (2022). Feasibility of rooftop solar PV program for 9 tourism villages towards green village development in Bali. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 1027(1), 012030.

https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/1027/1/012030

Hong, T., Lee, M., Koo, C., Jeong, K., & Kim, J. (2017).
Development of a method for estimating the rooftop solar photovoltaic (PV) potential by analyzing the available rooftop area using Hillshade analysis.
Applied Energy, 194, 320 to 332.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2016.07.001

- Kandasamy, C. P., Prabu, P., & Niruba, K. (2013). Solar potential assessment using PVSYST software. Proceedings of the 2013 International Conference on Green Computing, Communication and Conservation of Energy, ICGCE 2013. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICGCE.2013.6823519
- Kappagantu, R., Daniel, S. A., & Venkatesh, M. (2015). Analysis of Rooftop Solar PV System

Implementation Barrier in Puducherry Smart Grid Pilot Project. *Procedia Technology*, 21, 490-497. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.protcy.2015.10.033

Karki, P., Adhikary, B., & Sherpa, K. (2012). Comparative study of grid-tied photovoltaic (PV) system in Kathmandu and Berlin using PVsyst. *IEEE International Conference on Sustainable Energy Technologies, ICSET.*

https://doi.org/10.1109/ICSET.2012.6357397

- Koko, S. P. (2022). Optimal battery sizing for a grid-tied solar photovoltaic system supplying a residential load: A case study under South African solar irradiance. *Energy Reports*, 8, 410-418. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egyr.2022.02.183
- Kumar, N. M., Kumar, M. R., Rejoice, P. R., & Mathew, M. (2017). Performance analysis of 100 kWp grid connected Si-poly photovoltaic system using PVsyst simulation tool. *Energy Procedia*, 117, 180-189. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2017.05.121
- Lee, M., & Hong, T. (2019). Hybrid agent-based modeling of rooftop solar photovoltaic adoption by integrating the geographic information system and data mining technique. *Energy Conversion and Management*, 183, 266 -279. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2018.12.096
- Lee, M., Hong, T., Jeong, K., & Kim, J. (2018). A bottom-up approach for estimating the economic potential of the rooftop solar photovoltaic system considering the spatial and temporal diversity. *Applied Energy*, 232, 640 - 656.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2018.09.176

Lopez-Ruiz, H. G., Blazquez, J., & Vittorio, M. (2020). Assessing residential solar rooftop potential in Saudi Arabia using nighttime satellite images: A study for the city of Riyadh. *Energy Policy*, 140, 111399.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2020.111399

- Mandi, R. P. (2018). Grid interactive rooftop solar PV power plant for educational institute. *Proceedings of the 2017 International Conference On Smart Technology for Smart Nation, SmartTechCon 2017.* https://doi.org/10.1109/SmartTechCon.2017.835860
 9
- Minai, A. F., Usmani, T., Alotaibi, M. A., Malik, H., & Nassar, M. E. (2022). Performance Analysis and Comparative Study of a 467.2 kWp Grid-Interactive SPV System: A Case Study. *Energies*. https://doi.org/10.3390/en15031107
- Prajapat, M., RajPahar, B., & Shakya, S. R. (2021). Analysis of Grid Tied Solar Rooftop System: A Case Study on Stars Homes, Sitapaila, Nepal.

Journal of Advanced College of Engineering and Management, 6, 61-74.

https://doi.org/10.3126/jacem.v6i0.38319

- Putranto, L. M., Widodo, T., Indrawan, H., Ali Imron, M., & Rosyadi, S. A. (2022). Grid parity analysis: The present state of PV rooftop in Indonesia. *Renewable Energy Focus*, 40, 23 - 38. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ref.2021.11.002
- Rabuya, I., Libres, M., Abundo, M. L., & Taboada, E. (2021). Moving up the electrification ladder in offgrid settlements with rooftop solar microgrids. *Energies*, 14(12), 3467. https://doi.org/10.3390/en14123467

Rout, K. C., & Kulkarni, P. S. (2020). Design and Performance evaluation of Proposed 2 kW Solar PV Rooftop on Grid System in Odisha using PVsyst. 2020 IEEE International Students' Conference on Electrical, Electronics and Computer Science, SCEECS 2020.

https://doi.org/10.1109/SCEECS48394.2020.124

- Saxena, G., & Gidwani, D. L. (2018). Estimation of energy production of grid connected rooftop solar photovoltaic system at Nagar Nigam Kota, Rajasthan. 3rd International Conference on Innovative Applications of Computational Intelligence on Power, Energy and Controls with Their Impact on Humanity, CIPECH 2018. https://doi.org/10.1109/CIPECH.2018.8724134
- Shilpa, S., & Sridevi, H. (2019). Optimum design of Rooftop PV System for An Education Campus Using HOMER. 2019 Global Conference for Advancement in Technology, GCAT 2019. https://doi.org/10.1109/GCAT47503.2019.8978446
- Shiva Kumar, B., & Sudhakar, K. (2015). Performance evaluation of 10 MW grid connected solar photovoltaic power plant in India. *Energy Reports*, *1*, 184 to 192.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egyr.2015.10.001

- Simshauser, P. (2022). Rooftop solar PV and the peak load problem in the NEM's Queensland region. *Energy Economics*, 109, 106002. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eneco.2022.106002
- Singh, K., & Rizwan, M. (2022). Performance Analysis of Solar PV Modules with Dust Accumulation for Indian Scenario. 2022 IEEE 10th Power India International Conference, PIICON 2022. https://doi.org/10.1109/PIICON56320.2022.100451 27
- Sinha, A., & Ranjan, A. (2021). Rooftop solar PV projects-saviour of Discoms or vicious cycle of death. In *Water and Energy International*.

- Song, X., Huang, Y., Zhao, C., Liu, Y., Lu, Y., Chang, Y., & Yang, J. (2018). An approach for estimating solar photovoltaic potential based on rooftop retrieval from remote sensing images. Energies, 11(11), 3172. https://doi.org/10.3390/en11113172
- Yadav, P., Kumar, N., & Chandel, S. S. (2015). Simulation and performance analysis of a 1kWp photovoltaic system using PVsyst. 4th IEEE Sponsored International Conference onComputation of Power, Energy, Information and

Communication, ICCPEIC 2015. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICCPEIC.2015.7259481

Yadav, S. K., Kumar, N. M., & Bajpai, U. (2023). Quantitative impact assessment of temperature on the economics of a rooftop solar photovoltaic system. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 30(8), 21900 - 21913. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-022-23592-7

How to cite this Article:

Renu Yadav, Anamol Gautam and P. Pradeepa (2023). Design, Performance and Economic evaluation of a 4kW Grid-interactive Solar PV Rooftop in Odisha using Pvsyst. International Journal of Experimental Research and Review, 31, 98-107. DOI: https://doi.org/10.52756/10.52756/ijerr.2023.v31spl.010



CC 08 This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License.